

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,410		11/20/2003	Roger Rozot	016800-557	5557	
21839	7590	08/23/2005		EXAMINER		
		ERSOLL PC	SINGH, JAI P			
POST OFFI		S, DOANE, SWECK 1404	LEK & MATHIS)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAND	RIA, VA	22313-1404		1616		
				DATE MAILED: 08/23/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
	10/716,410	ROZOT ET AL.	[
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jai P. Singh	1616				
 The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply 	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by six Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ .	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice und			erits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers			,			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	***					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•		1			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)	·					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	·			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-52 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 25 and 27-49 are drawn to a composition for caring for or making up keratin fibres, containing a physiologically acceptable medium and an effective amount of at least one pyrazolecarboxamide compound of formula (I), or a salt thereof, classified in class 424, subclass 401; class 514, subclasses 406 and 880.
- II. Claims 26-49 are drawn to a composition for caring for or making up keratin fibres, containing a physiologically acceptable medium and an effective amount of at least one pyrazolecarboxamide compound of formula (II), or a salt thereof, classified in class 424, subclasses 401, 59 and 70.1; class 514 and subclasses 406 and 880.
- III. Claims 1-12 are drawn to method of use of at least one pyrazolecarboxamide compound formula (I), or a salt thereof, in a composition as an agent for inducing and/or stimulating the growth of keratin fibers, especially human keratin fibres, and/or for reducing their loss and/or increasing their density, classified in class 424, subclasses 70.1, 70.9 and 880.

Art Unit: 1616

- IV. Claims13-24 are drawn to method of use at least one pyrazolecarboxamide compound formula (II), or a salt thereof, in a composition as an agent for inducing and/or stimulating the growth of keratin fibres, especially human keratin fibres, and/or for reducing their loss and/or increasing their density, classified in class 424, subclasses 70.1 and 70.9; class 514 subclasses 880 and 972.
- V. Claims 50-52 are drawn to pyrazolecarboxamide compound of formula (III) or a salt thereof, classified in class 424, subclass 401 and 406; class 514 subclass 406.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the pyrazolecarboxamide derivatives have been found to be useful as antibacterial, anti-inflammatory and herbicidal agents.

Claims 1-52 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising of pyrazolecarboxamide compound of formula (I), (II) and (III) wherein each formula contains different independent substituent as species

Art Unit: 1616

substituting for R¹, R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵. In addition to this, R⁴ group, in formula (I), (II) and (III), is further defined by different distinct species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. The election of species must contain one single species defined for R¹, R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ within any group selected from I-V. In addition to selection of single species for R¹, R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵, the applicant is further required to select a single salt derivative of the compound giving the structure of a single pyrazolecarboxamide compound meeting the cosmetic composition and its use.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be

that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Page 5

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C.

Art Unit: 1616

121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Norman Stepno on August 16, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jai P. Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-8147. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,410 Page 7

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JPS 8/18/2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
THOUSAND OF CENTER 1600